

Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT)

Sehr geehrter Herr Prof. Dr. Alexander Mädche (PERSÖNLICH)

Auswertungsbericht Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation an die Lehrenden

Sehr geehrter Herr Prof. Dr. Mädche,

mit diesem Schreiben erhalten Sie die Ergebnisse der automatisierten Auswertung Ihrer Lehrveranstaltung "Foundations of Interactive Systems".

Ihre Lehrveranstaltung "Foundations of Interactive Systems" hat den Lehrqualitätsindex

LQI = 100.

Die Auswertung zu Ihrer Lehrveranstaltung gliedert sich in folgende Abschnitte: Zu Beginn der Auswertung werden die Ergebnisse der Befragung in Form von Häufigkeitstabellen dargestellt. Bei allen Fragen wird die Anzahl der abgegebenen Antworten (n) angezeigt. Bei den 5er-Skalafragen finden Sie zusätzlich neben dem Histogramm den Mittelwert (mw) und die Standardabweichung (s) der jeweiligen Frage. Neben manchen Fragen finden Sie zudem ein Ampelsymbol abgebildet. Diese Fragen dienen der Qualitätssicherung der Lehre. Im vorletzten Teil werden sämtliche 5er-Skalenfragen in einem Profilliniendiagramm abgebildet. Zuletzt sind die Antworten zu den offenen Fragen aufgelistet.


Mit freundlichen Grüßen, Ihr Evaluationsteam


Prof. Dr. Alexander Mädche


Foundations of Interactive Systems (2540560) Erfasste Fragebögen = 21




Periode: SS23









4.2)	How many hours per week have you put, on average, into your preparation and follow-up for this course, so far?									
	0 h	0%	n=16							
	<1 h	12.5%								
	1<2 h	6.3%								
	2<3 h	56.3%								
	3<4 h	18.8%								
	4<5 h	6.3%								
	5<6 h	0%								
	6<7 h	0%								
	More than 7 h	0%								
4.3)	My understanding of the contents of the course is mainly obtained from (check as many as apply)									
	Lecture	57.1%	n=21							
	Exercise	28.6%								
	Tutorial	0%								
	Lecture notes/ Transparencies	61.9%								
	Literature	9.5%								
	Internet (38.1%								
	Others	14.3%								
4.4)	What is your semester? (1. semester in Master Degree = 1) 1-2 3-4 5-6 7-8 >8	0% 10% 60% 20% 10%	n=20							
4.5)	Current study course									
	InfoWirt (Bachelor)	0%	n=21							
	InfoWirt (Master)	0%								
	Wing (Bachelor)	47.6%								
	Wing (Master)	0%								
	TVWL (Bachelor)	4.8%								
	TVWL (Master)	0%								
	Wirtschaftsinformatik (Bachelor)	28.6%								
	Wirtschaftsinformatik (Master)	0%								
	Wi.Mathematik	0%								
	Informatik	14.3%								
	Sonstiges	4.8%								
5.	Comments									

Thank you for your cooperation!

Profillinie

Teilbereich: 01. SoSe 2023 Wirtschaftswissenschaften

Name der/des Lehrenden:

Prof. Dr. Alexander Mädche

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Foundations of Interactive Systems (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

1. Questions Concerning the Lecture

1.3)	Coordination of the contents of this course with that of other courses in my curriculum is	Very good			Very bad	n=21	mw=2,05
1.4)	How do you assess the participation of your colleagues in the course?	Very intensive			Very small	n=19	mw=2,74
1.5)	Do you understand the importance of the course contents to your further studies?	Very clearly			Not at all	n=21	mw=1,95
1.7)	I learn a lot during this course	Completely true	<u> </u>		Not at all	n=20	mw=2,10
1.8)	The objectives and requirements of the course were outlined clearly.	Completely true	\vdash		Not at all	n=21	mw=1,67
1.9)	The individual lectures achieve a clear objective.	Completely true			Not at all	n=19	mw=2,05
1.10	Not only separate facts, but also relationships are conveyed.	Completely true			Not at all	n=20	mw=2,05
1.11)	The lecturer has used the aids (e.g. projector, blackboard) appropriately.	Completely true	- 		Not at all	n=19	mw=1,26
1.12)	Helpful work materials (e.g. references, internet learning platforms, lecture notes) were made available for the course.	Completely true			Not at all	n=19	mw=1,63
1.14	How large is the amount of work for this course?	Very small		-	Very large	n=20	mw=3,45
1.15)	The amount of work required for this course is	Adequate			Inadequate	n=18	mw=2,39
1.16)	How is the course structured?	Very well	 		Very badly	n=19	mw=2,00
1.17	Contents	Very easy	 		Very difficult	n=19	mw=2,37
1.18)	Speed	Too slow			Too fast	n=19	mw=3,00
1.19)	Previous knowledge required	Very little			Very much	n=20	mw=1,85
1.20)	Clarity (helpful examples)	Very clear	 	·	Very abstract	n=18	mw=1,83
1.23)	I have looked at the lecture documents prior to the date of the lecture.	Very carefully			Not at all	n=19	mw=4,21
1.25	Please rate the course as a whole.	Very good			Very bad	n=21	mw=1,71
1.27	If yes: a sufficient number of tutorials is offered.	Completely true		-	Not at all	n=5	mw=2,80

2. Questions Concerning the Room Conditions



3. Questions Concerning the Lecturer

